

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,750	07/13/2001	Hiroaki Itagaki	211145US0	2048
22850	7590 04/09/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			WEINER, LAURA S	
ALLAANDI	MA, VA 22514			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	6
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			a			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/903,750	ITAGAKI ET AL.	0			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura S Weiner	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory points of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will, by some context of the period for reply will be period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be some context of the period for reply will be a period for reply wil	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the reiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 July 2001 .					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	llowance except for formal n		ne merits is			
Disposition of Claims	ildei Ex parte Quayle, 1905 (J.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers			- •			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •	•				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	•		d application)			
	•	· · · · · ·	п аррисацоп).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ice Action Summary	Part o	of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 09/903,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a pyridine compound comprising R1, R2, R3, R4 and R5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 9-20 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/903,750

Art Unit: 1745

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura Weiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-4396. The examiner works a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (703) 308-2383. The fax phone number for non-after finals is 703-872-9310 and the fax phone number for after-finals is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Laura S. Weiner

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1745

April 7, 2003